IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRIAN L. ANGNEY and GINA GIAMPIETRO-ANGNEY,

Plaintiffs,

09cv0192

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

v.

ANTONIO C. DIMARCO an individual, a/k/a SAMUEL RICHARD SEKEL, II, ET AL.,

Defendants.

ORDER OF COURT

For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- (1) Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 32) is **GRANTED** as to Count X. Count X is dismissed with prejudice. The Court declines to exercise jurisdiction over state law claims (Counts I IX). Pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A. §5103(b), Plaintiffs may pursue their claims in state court.
- (2) Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Re the Issue of Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 36) is **DENIED**.
 - (3) The Clerk shall mark the docket closed.

SO ORDERED this 22nd Day of January, 2010

<u>s/ Arthur J. Schwab</u>Arthur J. SchwabUnited States District Judge

cc: All Registered ECF Counsel and Parties